

Monthly Management Report

April 2017

Contents

Key

*	On	track	to	achieve	our	outcomes
	\circ	uacin	w	acriicve	Oui	Outcomics

- Slightly behind and requires improvement
- Not on track but taking corrective action
- Improving
- No change
- Declining
- Missing actual data
- Missing target
- Missing target and actual data

Foreword	03
Overall Summary: Performance	04
Areas for Management Attention	05
Areas of Good Performance	06
Overall Summary: Projects & Programmes	07
Overall Summary: Risk	08
Overall Summary: Finance	11
Hot Topics	12
2. Young People's Achievement and Involvement	13
3. Clean, Green and Liveable	16
4. Safety, Security and Visible Presence	19
5. Strengthening the Local Economy	21
6. Decent Homes for All	22
7. Protection of Children	26
8. Caring for Adults and Older People	28
9. Active, Healthy Citizens	31
10. Inspiring Efficiency, Effectiveness and Equity	33
Appendix A: Methodology - performance	41
Appendix B: Methodology - projects, risk, finance	42

Foreword

The purpose of the Management Report is to place on record each month, in a consistent format, our performance against priorities. Each month we attempt to give a full account of what is being done, what has been achieved and which areas require additional management attention to secure future achievements. The report gives some coverage to the effectiveness of our partnership working. Reporting on performance is always double-edged. We have high ambitions and targets which are set to stretch management and staff effort. So, there are areas where the need for greater management attention is highlighted.

The report focuses on the Council's performance in line with our corporate priorities, drawing data from performance indicators (Pls), project monitoring information, risk register assessments and financial reports.

Performance:

Performance is being reported for March 2017. There are 14 performance indicators (67 per cent) reported as green or amber against target, and 11 (55 per cent) are showing an upward direction of travel. There are 7 performance indicators (33 per cent) reported as red against target and 9 performance indicators (45 per cent) which have a Red direction of travel. There are 3 indicators that have missing performance data.

Projects:

Projects are being reported for April 2017. There are no red projects this month.

Risks:

Risks are being reported for December 2016. There are nine red corporate risks - ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs; noncompliance with Health & Safety legislation; financial failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget; loss of income to the Council; failure of child safeguarding arrangement; serious adult safeguarding concern; information governance failure; failure to maintain sufficient management capacity and capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational change; and strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver. There are 13 amber risks and no risks are green.

Finance:

The March 2017 outturn figures are currently being finalised as part of the closing of accounts process. Therefore the position as at 28 February 2017 is being re-reported as follows: There is a forecast overspend of £10m (a decrease of £2.3m compared to the last reported) against the directorates' net general fund revenue budget which is £236.218m, This compares with a final outturn of £3.1m for 15/16 which resulted after applying £3.2m of funding for 'risks and other budget pressures' against directorates' year end overspend of £6.3m for the year. The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is currently projecting a surplus of £1.6m. The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is expected to balance at the year end. It is expected that there will be nine schools that require a licensed deficit. It is also expected that following the academy conversion order for Sedgehill School the school's deficit will be written off against the schools contingency.

Barry Quirk, Chief Executive 16 May 2017

Overall Summary: Performance

Summary of performance indicators in this report.

Priority 2 - Young People's					Priority 2 - Young People's					
Achievement and Involvement				Achievement and Involvement						
Overall Performance				Direction of Travel						
	0	*	Total	1	→	7	Total			
2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2			

Across all performance indicators in this report				Across all performance indicators in this report						
	0	verall P	erforma	nce	Dire	ction	of Tra	ivel		
	0	*	71	Total	1	-		?		Total
7	4	10	2	23	9	0	11	3		23

Priority 3 - Clean, Green andLiveable					Priority 3 - Clean, Green and Liveable					
	Overall Performance				Direction of Travel					
A	0	*	71	Total	1	→		?		Гotal
1	0	2	2	5	2	0	1	2		5

Priority 6 - Decent Homes for All				Priority 6 - Decent Homes for All					
Overall Performance				Direction of Travel					
	0	*	Total	1	→	X	Total		
2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2		

P	riority 7 -	- Protectio	n of Children	Priority 7 - Protection of Children					
Overall Performance					Direction of Travel				
	0	*	Total	1	→	7	Total		
0	0	3	3	0	0	3	3		

Prio	Priority 8 - Caring for Adults and Older People				Priority 8 - Caring for Adults and Older People				
	Overall Performance				Direction of Travel				
A	0	*	Total	1	→	7	Total		
1	0	2	3	1	0	2	3		

Pr	Priority 9 - Active, Healthy Citizens				Priority 9 - Active, Healthy Citizens					
Overall Performance				Direction of Travel						
	0	*	Total	1	→	7	Total			
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1			

	Priority 10 - Inspiring Effciency, Effectiveness and Equity				Priority 10 - Inspiring Effciency, Effectiveness and Equity				
				Direction of Travel					
	0	*	Total	1	→	7	?	Total	
1	3	3	7	2	0	4	1	7	

Performance

This report contains March 2017 performance data, and finds that 14 indicators are reported as Green or Amber against target which is a decrease of one from last month. In March 2017, 7 indicators are reported as Red against target, which is an increase of two from last month. There are 2 indicators with missing data in March 2017, which is one less than last month.

Direction of Travel

A total of 11 indicators are showing an upward trend in February 2017, which is an increase of one from last month. There are 9 indicators with a red direction of travel, which is a decrease of one from last month. In February 2017, 3 indicators had missing data, again the same as last month.

N.B. direction of travel is the change in performance and is measured against the previous year. Therefore changes to targets from one year to the next will affect this.

Areas for Management Attention

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Areas requiring management attention th	is month					
Performance Indicators - Monthly Indi	cators					
	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Mar 17 v Mar 16	DoT Mar 17 v Feb 17	Consecutive periods Red (last 12 months)	Priority No.	Page No.
LPZ940 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks excluding exceptions to the rule		1	7	8	2	p14
LPZ941 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks including exceptions to the rule		1	X	8	2	p15
NI156 Number of households living in Temporary Accommodation		M	1	-	6	p24

	Performance Indicators - Monthly Indicators (reported	d 1 month	behind)				
		Against Target Feb 17	DoT Feb 17 v Mar 16		Consecutive periods Red (last 12 months)	Priority No.	Page No.
1	■ NI192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting		1	1	3	3	p18
[A	*	1	-	8	p30

Performance indicators - Quarterly indicators							
<u>/</u>	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Mar 17 v Mar 16	DoT Mar 17 v Dec 16		Priority No.	Page No.	
LPZ705 Number of homes made decent		1	7	2	6	p25	

Areas of Good Performance

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Areas of Good Performance

Performance Indicators - Monthly indicators								
	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Mar 17 v Mar 16	DoT Mar 17 v Feb 17	Priority No.				
NI062 Stability of placements of looked after children: number of moves	*	>	>	7				
NI063 Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement	*	>	1	7				
■ NI064 Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more	*	7	~	7				
	*	7	1	8				
■ NI181 Time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events	*	>	>	10				
■ LPI548a %age of notifiable incidents occurring on non-school sites reported to the HSE	*	*	>	10				

Performance Indicators - Monthly Indicators (reported 1 month behind)							
	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Feb 17 v Mar 15	DoT Feb 17 v Jan 17	Priority No.			
■ NI191 Residual household waste per household (KG)	*	X	—	3			
	*	>	>	8			

Programmes and Projects

Project Performance - April 2017

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

			This month		One month ago			Two months ago				
	Status			Status				Status				
- 4	<u> </u>		*	Total	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	*	Total	<u> </u>	•	*	Total
(9		5	1 4	0	9	5	1 4	0	9	5	1 4

Estimated comple	etion dates
Project	Date
PMSCUS New Homes, Better Places	Phase 2 completion - July 2017
PMSRGN Sydenham Park Footbridge	September 2017
PMSCYP Building Schools for the Future	September 2017
PMSCYP Developing 2 Year Old Childcare Provision	October 2017
PMSCUS Excalibur Regeneration	January 2018
PMSCUS Lewisham Homes Capital Programme	March 2018
PMSCUS Bampton and Shifford Estate Development	Spring 2018
PMSRGN Southern Site Housing - Deptf TC Prog	November 2018
PMSCUS Beckenham Place Park Regeneration and Flood Scheme	June 2019
PMSCYP Primary Places Programme 2016/17	September 2019
PMSRGN Milford Towers Decant	2019
PMSCUS Besson Street Development	2021
PMSRGN New Bermondsey Regeneration Scheme	2026
PMSRGN Catford Centre Redevelopment	2026

Movements in project status since February 2017

Upgrades:

None

Downgrades:

None

Removals:

None

Additions:

None

Overall Performance: Risk



Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Risk can be defined as uncertainty of outcome due to an event or an action in the future that could adversely affect an organisation's ability to achieve its business objectives and meet its strategies.

Good risk management allows an organisation to have increased confidence in achieving its desired outcomes; effectively constrain threats to acceptable levels; and take informed decisions about exploiting opportunities. Good risk management also allows stakeholders to have increased confidence in the organisation's corporate governance and ability to deliver.

In accordance with the Council's current Risk Management Strategy, risk is monitored by way of risk registers. Risks are scored in terms of likelihood and impact, with a range from 1 to 5 (with 5 being the highest) and the result is plotted on a matrix (as shown) to produce the RAG rating. A target is also set and the risk registers contain action plans to manage the risks to target and these are subject to regular review by Directorate Management Teams. The risk registers are reported to the Executive Management Team/Heads of Service (instead of the Risk Management Working Party that has now been disbanded) and the Internal Control Board on a quarterly basis and quarterly updates are provided in this report. The previous quarter's data will be routinely carried forward until the next quarterly update is made, unless there are matters of significance that need to specifically be brought to management's attention.

The Corporate Risk register has been refreshed to ensure that all risks are more clearly defined and accurately reflect the underlying risks. All of the action plans within the registers now have clear deadlines for completion. There are 22 risks in total on the Corporate Risk register (9 Red, 13 Amber, and 0 Green). The status of risk 28 failure to agree with partners integrated delivery models for local health and care services has been upgraded from 4 green to 12 amber whilst work continues to review and assess the impact of proposals to reconfigure health and care services and defined savings schemes are fully developed.

Alignment of directorate to corporate risks is regularly analysed and reported to the Internal Control Board. Analysis of the alignment of risks identified in business plans to the directorate registers will be strengthened following completion of the 2017/18 business planning process.

A Risk Maturity Assessment, undertaken by Internal Audit, reported in July 2016. It assesses the Council as 'Risk Managed'. This is the fourth highest of a five point scale. The definition of 'Risk Managed' is 'Enterprise-wide approach to risk management developed and communicated'.

There are six recommendations arising from the Assessment and an action plan is in place to implement these by the due dates. The Risk Management Strategy will be refreshed during 2017.

Overall Performance: Risk

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

	Red (Corporate Register)	
Corporate priority	Risk name	Current status
10	2. ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs	
	egy is in development with support from SOCITM and Public Accounts Committee approval for implementation. New desktop environment phases, with ongoing work to improve the infrastructure under the LBL/Brent shared service.	is being
10	4. Non-compliance with Health & Safety Legislation	
Cross directo	prate monitoring meetings are taking place. Lessons learnt from CYP audits to be reported to CYP Directorate Management Team.	
	6. Financial Failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget	
Savings prop	forecast overspend is £7.7M against the directorates' net general fund budget with £3.8M of corporate provisions held for risk and presposals of £35M to $17/18$ are being progressed.	
	forecast overspend is £6M against the directorates' net general fund revenue budget with £3.2M of corporate provisions held for risk ar avings proposals of £35M to 17/18 are being progressed.	nd
10	9. Loss of income to the Council	
the Executiv	nue with Oracle 12 and the system is hampering debt collection and fund allocation. All issues with Oracle 12 are subject to Scrutiny ove e Director, Resources and Regeneration.	erseen by
	17. Serious Adult Safeguarding Concerns	
Continue en implications.	gagement with staff and partners as direction of travel becomes clearer. Expand Financial Implications in decision reports to include cons	ideration o
7	18. Failure of child safeguarding arrangement	
	ongoing management action and review continues in respect of safeguarding. However, the risk of avoidable death or serious injury to cl Il continually be rated red due to the potential severity should an event occur.	ient or
10	21. Information governance failure.	
	on Management policies to be refreshed in line with new Data Protection legislation coming from the EU that will replace the current Data Insure alignment with Brent. Refresh of Information Governance policies to follow.	a Protectio
	24. Failure to maintain sufficient management capacity & capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational changes.	A
Declining but and duality of	ognises the risk of strain on management capacity and capability with continuing headcount reductions and significant changes to ways dgets, changing demand and pressures, new technologies and a different community role under the Localism Act drive risk of a decline in of service due to insufficient time or resource. Consideration of capacity and capability and succession planning are all indicated in the 'S ning model. Organisational shape, direction and delivery strategy being continually reviewed.	flexibility
10	30. Strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver	
	oss key services to implement transformational changes in current climate of austerity. Exploring further potential for shared services, dig sation and income generation as a means of delivering savings.	gitisation

Overall Performance: Risk

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Corporate Risk					
Corporate Nisk	Current Status	Current status against target		rection of avel	Priority
1. Failure to effectively manage the impacts of an emergency affecting the public, business, environment		*	Dec 16	→	10
and/or organisation.			Dog 16		10
2. ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs			Dec 16	<u></u>	10
4. Non-compliance with Health & Safety Legislation			Dec 16	<u></u>	10
5. Failure to anticipate and respond appropriately to legislative change.			Dec 16	→	10
6. Financial Failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget		<u> </u>	Dec 16	→	10
7. Adequacy of Internal Control.			Dec 16	→	10
8. Lack of provision for unforeseen expenditure or loss of income in respect of Council's liabilities or funding streams.	•	•	Dec 16	→	10
9. Loss of income to the Council			Dec 16	→	10
10. Failure to manage performance leads to service failure.			Dec 16	→	10
12. Multi-agency governance failure leads to ineffective partnership working		A	Dec 16	→	10
13. Failure to manage strategic suppliers and related procurement programmes.			Dec 16	→	10
15. Loss of a strategic asset or premises through failure to maintain it in a safe and effective condition			Dec 16	→	10
17. Serious Adult Safeguarding Concerns			Dec 16	→	8
18. Failure of child safeguarding arrangement			Dec 16	→	7
19. Loss of constructive employee relations			Dec 16	→	10
21. Information governance failure.			Dec 16	→	10
24. Failure to maintain sufficient management capacity & capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational changes.	A	A	Dec 16	→	10
27 Governance failings in the implementation of service changes			Dec 16	→	10
28. Failure to agree with partners integrated delivery models for local health and care services.		A	Dec 16	1	9
29 Move to IER impacts work of boundary commission		A	Dec 16	→	10
30. Strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver			Dec 16	→	10
32. Election/Referendum not conducted efficiently.			Dec 16	→	10

Overall Performance: Finance

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Performance

	January 2016	%	February 2017	%
*	3	30	4	40
	0	0	1	10
A	7	70	5	50
Totals	10	100	10	100

The financial outturn report as at 28th February 2017 is as follows: There is a forecast overspend of £10m (a decrease of £2.3m compared to the last reported) against the directorates' net general fund revenue budget which is £236.218m, This compares with a final outturn of £3.1m for 15/16 which resulted after applying £3.2m of funding for 'risks and other budget pressures' against directorates' year end overspend of £6.3m for the year. The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is currently projecting a surplus of £1.6m. The Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is expected to balance at the year end. It is expected that there will be nine schools that require a licensed deficit. It is also expected that following the academy conversion order for Sedgehill School the school's deficit will be written off against the schools contingency.

Finance by Priorities (?000s)					
		t projected year			
•	2016/17 Budget end v		% variance		
	Feb 1	.7			
01. NI Community Leadership and Empowerment	5,434	-240.00	-4.42		
02. NI Young People's Achievement and Involvement	5,800	1,500.00	25.86		
03. NI Clean, Green and Liveable	18,900	1,900.00	10.05		
04. NI Safety, Security and Visible Presence	9,900	-900.00	-9.09		
05. NI Strengthening the Local Economy	2,700	-500.00	-18.52		
06. NI Decent Homes for All	5,500	-600.00	-10.91		
07. NI Protection of Children	41,900	3,500.00	8.35		
08. NI Caring for Adults and Older People	72,100	4,300.00	5.96		
09. NI Active, Healthy Citizens	5,666	639.00	11.28		
10. NI Inspiring Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Equity	68,318	400.00	0.59		
	236,218	10,000.00	4.23		

Hot Topics

Together, we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

There following 'Hot Topics' are being reported this month:

More Lewisham children offered their first preference primary school

New figures released today showed the number of children in Lewisham who have been allocated a place in their preferred school has risen since 2016.

3,008 children were offered their first preference primary school, a rise of 2% to 82.52%. 3,352 children were offered their first or second preference primary school, a rise of 2% to 91.96%. 3,642 children were offered their first, second or third preference primary school, a rise of 7% to 99.91%. In total 3,645 Lewisham children were allocated a place at a primary school in Lewisham.

Councillor Paul Maslin, Cabinet Member for Children and Young People said: 'I'm delighted that the number of children in Lewisham who have been offered a place in their preferred primary school has risen again this year. The vast majority of children will be going to their first preference primary school this September. We are working with parents, children and teachers to make Lewisham the best place to live, work and learn with the highest standards of education while meeting the growing demand for school places.'


2. Young People's Achievement and Involvement Raising educational attainment and improving facilities for young people through partnership working

Priority 2 - Monthly Performance								
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	Target Mar 17	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Feb 17	Against Target Jan 17	15/16
LPZ940 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks excluding exceptions to the rule	Percentage	69.90	100.00	A	*	A	A	A
LPZ941 % EHCPs issued under 20 weeks including exceptions to the rule	Percentage	68.80	95.00	A	*	A	A	A

F	Priority 2 - Projects	S		
	Directorate	Budget	Est. completion date	Current Status
PMSCYP Building Schools for the Future	CYP	£230m	September 2017	*
PMSCYP Developing 2 Year Old Childcare Provision	CYP	£2.562m	October 2017	
PMSCYP Primary Places Programme 2016/17	СҮР	Budget 2016 - 2019: £36 M	September 2019	

		Net Expenditu	ıre Priorit	y 02 (?00	00s)
	2016/17 Budget	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% Variance	Comments
02. NI Young People's Achievement and Involvement	5,800			25.86	Finance Overspend Schools' transport within partnership and targeted services area is predicting an overspend of £0.7m. The saving proposals from Attendance and Welfare, Occupational therapy, Education Psychologists and Multi agency planning are not being delivered in full this year this has led to shortfall of £0.4m. In addition, the short break budget is expected to overspend by £0.3m. The remaining overspend £0.1m is from Education Standards and Inclusion.

LPZ940	0 - % EHCPs issued ur	ider 20 weeks exclud	ing exceptions to the rule								
			iding exceptions to the rule								
	Percentage										
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)								
Mar 2016	70.20	100.00									
Apr 2016	56.50	100.00	A								
May 2016	63.80	100.00	A								
Jun 2016	73.00	100.00	A								
Jul 2016	67.50	100.00	A								
Aug 2016	67.20	100.00	A								
Sep 2016	64.90	100.00	A								
Oct 2016	63.90	100.00	A								
Nov 2016	64.20	100.00	A								
Dec 2016	69.20	100.00	A								
Jan 2017	68.30	100.00	A								
Feb 2017	68.90	100.00	A								
Mar 2017	69.90	100.00	<u> </u>								



LPZ940 - comment

Performance Comments

Performance


Cumulative performance is now showing improvement as a result of an increase in monthly performance since October - the March stats are showing an increase again from the January and February stats. However the completion of EHCPs remains vulnerable to staffing changes across the system alongside the increase in demand. The SEN team is still having to manage the backlog which was created by these historic issues and the backlog in related services. Since September, when the SEN team was at full capacity two members of staff have left, we have just recruited to one of those the related services is being monitored. Additional EP posts and are looking to recruit to the remaining vacancy. The backlog in Educational Psychology due staff have now been recruited to prevent future to staffing capacity has been addressed by the successful recruitment of additional staff, who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their training. There remains a backlog of cases, but additional locum Educational Psychologists have now been recruited to address the issue. Published data shows that in 2015/16, 59.2% nationally were completed on time in England (excluding current backlog. However, the current backlog is likely exceptions) and 79.6% by Lewisham's 'statistical neighbours'.

Action Plan Comments

Performance Action Plan

The performance of the SEN team is being monitored on a monthly basis. Additional alerts have been put in place (since October 2016) to support case officers to meet their PIs throughout the assessment process. These additional alerts help monitor the 20 week process and will support officers to keep on target. The backlog in capacity issues who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their training. Additional locum staff have now been recruited to address the to impact on the performance against the 20 week target until the end of this academic year at least.

1.070	941 - % EHCPs issued un	dor 30 wooks including	eventions to the rule								
LPZS		under 20 weeks including									
	Percentage										
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)								
Mar 2016	69.80	95.00	A								
Apr 2016	56.50	95.00	A								
May 2016	63.80	95.00	A								
Jun 2016	73.00	95.00	A								
Jul 2016	66.80	95.00	<u> </u>								
Aug 2016	66.70	95.00	<u> </u>								
Sep 2016	64.00	95.00	A								
Oct 2016	62.60	95.00	A								
Nov 2016	62.70	95.00	A								
Dec 2016	67.80	95.00	A								
Jan 2017	66.90	95.00	A								
Feb 2017	67.60	95.00	A								
Mar 2017	68.80	95.00	<u> </u>								



LPZ941 - comment

Performance Comments

Performance

Cumulative performance is now showing improvement as a result of an increase in monthly performance since October - the March stats are showing an increase again from the January and February stats. However the completion of EHCPs remains vulnerable to staffing changes across the system alongside the increase in demand. The SEN team is still having to manage the backlog which was created by these historic issues and the backlog in related services. Since September, when the SEN team was at full capacity two members of staff have left, we have just recruited to one of those posts and are looking to recruit to the remaining vacancy. The backlog in Educational Psychology due to staffing capacity has been addressed by the successful recruitment of additional staff, who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their training. There remains a backlog of cases, but additional locum Educational Psychologists have now been recruited to address the issue. Published data shows that in 2015/16, 55.5% nationally were completed on time in England (including exceptions) and 63.4% by Lewisham's 'statistical neighbours'.

Action Plan Comments

Performance Action Plan

The performance of the SEN team is being monitored on a monthly basis. Additional alerts have been put in place (since October 2016) to support case officers to meet their PIs throughout the assessment process. These additional alerts help monitor the 20 week process and will support officers to keep on target. The backlog in the related services is being monitored. Additional EP staff have now been recruited to prevent future capacity issues who are due to start in September 2017 once they have completed their training. Additional locum staff have now been recruited to address the current backlog. However, the current backlog is likely to impact on the performance against the 20 week target until the end of this academic year at least.

3. Clean, Green & Liveable
Improving environmental management, the cleanliness and care of roads and pavements, and promoting a sustainable environment

Priority 3 - Monthly Performance											
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	◆ Target Mar 17	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Feb 17	Against Target Jan 17	15/16			
■ LPZ749 Percentage of land and highways inspected that are of acceptable cleanliness (litter)	Percentage	?		?!	?	?!	?!	?			
	Percentage	?		?!	?	?!	?!	?			


	Priority 3 - Monthly Performance (reported one month in arrears)											
		Unit	YTD Feb 17		Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Jan 17	Against Target Dec 16	15/16			
[4	NI191 Residual household waste per household (KG)	Kg/Household	55.38	58.75	*	—	*	*	*			
Θ	NI192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting	Percentage	17.74	20.00	A	*	A	A				
[4	NI193 Percentage of municipal waste land filled	Percentage	0.83	2.00	*	1	*	*	*			

3. Clean, Green & Liveable
Improving environmental management, the cleanliness and care of roads and pavements, and promoting a sustainable environment

Pr	iority 3 Projects			
	Directorate	Budget	Est. completion date	Current Status
PMSRGN Sydenham Park Footbridge	Resources & Regeneration	£775k	September 2017	
PMSCUS Beckenham Place Park Regeneration and Flood Scheme	Customer Services	£8.87M	June 2019	*

			F	Priority 3 -	Finance Net Expenditure (?000s)
	2016/17 Budget	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% variance	Comments
03. NI Clean, Greer and Liveable	18,900	1,900	•	10.05	Finance Overspend The Environment Division is forecasting an overspend of £1.9m no change since the last report. £0.5m relates to planned savings in respect of transport provision across the council. Before the savings can be achieved an overspend on the provision of transport needs to be addressed. Another significant proportion of the overspend, £0.5m, relates to additional vehicle hire costs as a result of vehicles coming to the end of their operational life. Domestic refuse tonnage is expected to overspend by £0.3m. This is due to an increase in the number of properties in the borough. Bereavement services is projecting an overspend of £0.3m largely arising from increased crematorium maintenance costs. Green scene budgets are projecting an overspend of £0.1m as a result of income from the former Foxgrove Club. A shortfall in commercial waste income of £0.1m is expected, partly as a result of the reduction in the number of properties in the corporate estate. The £0.1m overspend in the Street Management budget is the result of public conveniences which are no longer funded as part of the JC Decaux Highways contract.

NI 192	NI 192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting.										
	NI192 Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting										
	Percentage										
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)								
Feb 16	18.18	20.00	A								
Mar 16	18.06	20.00	A								
Apr 16	15.96	20.00	A								
May 16	16.53	20.00	A								
Jun 16	17.05	20.00									
Jul 16	17.21	20.00									
Aug 16	17.50	20.00									
Sep 16	17.72	20.00									
Oct 16	18.06	20.00									
Nov 16	18.06	20.00									
Dec 16	18.01	20.00	<u> </u>								
Jan 17	17.89	20.00	A								
Feb 17	17.74	20.00									



	NI192 - comment									
Responsible Officer	Performance Comments	Action Plan Comments								
Head of Environment	Performance The amount of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting decreased from 1373.17 tonnes in January to 1145.08 tonnes in February, whilst the total amount of household waste collected decreased from 8170.81 tonnes in January to 7206.51 tonnes in February.	Performance Action Plan A comprehensive communications plan is being developed which will be implemented when rolling out the new services; it is currently estimated that this will commence in early summer 2017. Additionally, Lewisham is assisting in a communications project with Resource London to restrict residual waste, which will identify messages that will be effective in reducing residual waste. This work will begin in the new year. Further, new stickers will be applied to the recycling bins so that households know the correct items to recycle.								

4. Safety, Security and Visible Presence Improving Partnership working with the police and others and using the Council's powers to combat anti-social behaviour

4.1 Performance

Improving - where smaller is better

Note: The second of the second

				Violence with injury (ABH)		
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	YTD Feb 17	Change since last month	YTD Mar 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	?	?	1,793.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	?	?	1,395.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	?	?	1,690.00	?

				Robbery				
Unit YTD Mar 17 YTD Feb 17 Change since last month YTD Mar 16 Change since same period last year								
Lewisham	Number	?	?	?	821.00	?		
Outer London	Number	?	?	?	530.00	?		
Inner London	Number	?	?	?	894.00	?		

				Burglary				
Unit YTD Mar 17 YTD Feb 17 Change since last month YTD Mar 16 Change since same period last year								
Lewisham	Number	?	?	?	2,146.00	?		
Outer London	Number	?	?	?	1,995.00	?		
Inner London	Number	?	?	?	2,389.00	?		

				Criminal Damage				
Unit YTD Mar 17 YTD Feb 17 Change since last month YTD Mar 16 Change since same period last year								
Lewisham	Number	?	?	?	2,421.00	?		
Outer London	Number	?	?	?	1,884.00	?		
Inner London	Number	?	?	?	2,068.00	?		

4. Safety, Security and Visible Presence Improving Partnership working with the police and others and using the Council's powers to combat anti-social behaviour

4.1 Performance

Improving - where smaller is better

Note: The second of the second

				Theft of vehicle		
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	YTD Feb 17	Change since last month	YTD Mar 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	?	?	884.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	?	?	609.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	?	?	824.00	?

				Theft from vehicle	:	
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	YTD Feb 17	Change since last month	YTD Mar 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	?	?	1,509.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	?	?	1,468.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	?	?	1,636.00	?

				Theft from person		
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	YTD Feb 17	Change since last month	YTD Mar 16	Change since same period last year
Lewisham	Number	?	?	?	555.00	?
Outer London	Number	?	?	?	496.00	?
Inner London	Number	?	?	?	2,004.00	?

5. Strengthening the Local Economy Gaining resources to regenerate key localities, strengthen employment skills and promote public transport

Priority !	5 - Monthly Cont	extual Perfor	rmance				
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	YTD Feb 17	YTD Jan 17	YTD Dec 16	YTD Nov 16	15/16
LPI472 Job Seekers Allowance claimant rate	Percentage	2.50	2.50	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.60
LPI474 The no.of JSA claimants aged 18-24yrs	Number	805	760	730	775	805	875
LPI475 Average house price(Lewisham)	£	414,721.00	416,167.00	4,412,957.00	412,149.00	409,539.00	399,893.00

Priority 5 -	Quarterly Cont	extual Perfor	mance				
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	YTD Dec 16	YTD Sep 16	YTD Jun 16	YTD Mar 16	15/16
LPI423 Local employment rate	Percentage	?	74.90	73.70	73.50	74.90	74.90

	Priority 5 Pr	ojects		
	Directorate	Budget	Est. completion date	Current Status
PMSRGN Catford Centre Redevelopment	Resources & Regeneration	£350m	2026	
PMSRGN New Bermondsey Regeneration Scheme	Resources & Regeneration	£500m	2026	•

6. Decent Homes for All
Investment in social and affordable housing to achieve the Decent Homes standard, tackle homelessness and supply key worker housing

	Pri	ority 6 - M	lonthly Indi	cators				
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	Target Mar 17	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Feb 17	Against Target Jan 17	15/16
NI156 Number of households living in Temporary Accommodation	Number	1,864.00	1,750.00	A	*	•	•	

	Pric	rity 6 - Q	uarterly In	dicator				
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	Target Mar 17	Against target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Dec 16	Against Target Sep 16	15/16
LPZ705 Number of homes made decent	Number	354.00	500.00		1			1,364.00


Priority 6 - Contextual Performance						
	Unit	Mar 17	Feb 17	Jan 17	Dec 16	15/16
LPI794 Number of families in non self contained nightly paid accommodation for more than 6 weeks	Number	0.00	22.00	34.00	0.00	0.00

6. Decent Homes for All
Investment in social and affordable housing to achieve the Decent Homes standard, tackle homelessness and supply key worker housing

		Priority 6 Proje	cts	
	Directorate	Budget	Est. completion date	Current Status
PMSCUS New Homes, Better Places	Customer Services	£1.5m	Phase 2 completion - July 2017	*
PMSCUS Lewisham Homes Capital Programme	Customer Services	£49m	March 2018	*
PMSCUS Besson Street Development	Customer Services	£1.02M	2021	*
PMSCUS Bampton and Shifford Estate Development	Customer Services	£300k	Spring 2018	•
PMSCUS Excalibur Regeneration	Customer Services	£2.011m	January 2018	•
PMSRGN Milford Towers Decant	Resources & Regeneration	£6m	2019	•
PMSRGN Southern Site Housing - Deptf TC Prog	Resources & Regeneration	£1m	November 2018	•

	Priority 6 - Fi	nance Net Expenditure (?000s)			
	2016/17 Budget F	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% variance	Comments
06. NI Decent Homes for All	5,500	-600	*	-10.91	

	NI156- Number of	households living in	n Temporary Accommo							
	NI156 Numb	er of households liv Accommodation	. ,							
	Number	Number								
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)							
Mar 2016	1,750	1,733								
Apr 2016	1,760	1,750								
May 2016	1,772	1,750								
Jun 2016	1,787	1,750								
Jul 2016	1,761	1,750								
Aug 2016	1,784	1,750	•							
Sep 2016	1,806	1,750								
Oct 2016	1,810	1,750								
Nov 2016	1,828	1,750								
Dec 2016	1,814	1,750								
Jan 2017	1,815	1,750								
Feb 2017	1,812	1,750								
Mar 2017	1,864	1,750	A							



	NI156 - comment	
Responsible Officer	Performance Comments	Action Plan Comments
Head of Strategic Housing	Performance The service continues to experience high demand, as the cost of the Private Rented Sector continues to increase and subsequently leads to a reduction in the number of affordable properties - creating a demand pressure as well as a supply pressure. This, combined with a 45% reduction in the past 6 years in the number of lets available through the Council and its Registered Provider partners, has contributed to an increase in the number of households in Temporary Accommodation (TA). Actions have been taken to increase the level of TA stock that LBL has access to, through innovative new schemes, re-purposing old properties and facilitating the acquisition of properties on the open market. The purpose of this is to provide suitable, affordable accommodation for households to whom a housing duty is owed and to reduce the need for expensive and unstable Nightly Paid accommodation. Whilst this has been successful in driving down the number of households in Nightly Paid (NP) accommodation, it has led to an increase in the overall number of households in TA.	

	LPZ705 - Number of homes made decent										
LPZ705 Number of homes made decent											
	Number										
Actual (YTD) Target (YTD) Performance (YTD)											
Jun 2016	125	125	*								
Sep 2016	173	250	A								
Dec 2016	255	375	A								
Mar 2017	354	500	A								



	LPZ70	05 - comment
Responsible Officer	Performance Comments	Action Plan Comments
Head of Strategic Housing	13,500 homes they manage. There are currently around 700 homes which require works to ensure they meet the Decent Homes standard. Due to problems experienced with one of	Performance Action Plan The remaining properties that are non-decent externally are being addressed through the Planned Preventative Maintenance (PPM) programme, the future Breyer programme for the South area and separate discrete works packages. Internal works are carried out by Lewisham Homes' Repairs Service. This will ensure that all properties managed by Lewisham Homes will be made decent by 2018.

7. Protection of Children

Better safe-guarding and joined-up services for children at risk

	Priority 7 - Monthly Performance									
		Unit	YTD Mar 17	Target Mar 17	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Feb 17	Against Target Jan 17	15/16	
	NI062 Stability of placements of looked after children: number of moves	Percentage	10.00	10.00	*	7	A	•		
	NI063 Stability of placements of looked after children: length of placement	Percentage	78.60	72.00	*	7	*	*		
Œ	NI064 Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more	Percentage	6.70	7.00	*	X	*	A		

Priority 7 - Contextual Performance									
	Unit	England 14/15	Statistical Neighbours 14/15	Mar 17	Feb 17	Jan 17	Dec 16	Nov 16	15/16
LPI302 No. of LAC 'as at'	Number	386.00	445.00	459.00	458.00	457.00	458.00	472.00	463.00
LPI309a Number of Referrals per month	Number	294.00	252.00	289.00	262.00	247.00	152.00	237.00	213.00

	Priority 7 - Finance Net Expenditure (?000s)									
	2016/17 Budget	Projected year-end variance as at Feb 17	Variance	% variance	Comments					
07. NI Protection of Children	41,900	3,500	•	8.35	Finance Overspend There are cost pressures amounting to £3.5m in Children's Social Care (this includes an underspend of £0.2m on the no recourse to public funds) which are in the following areas: the placement budget for looked after children is currently forecast to overspend by £2.1m; children leaving care is currently forecast to overspend by £0.3m; and additional pressure on the Section 17 unrelated to No Recourse of £0.5m and on salaries and wages which show a forecast overspend of £0.8m.					

7. Protection of Children

Better safe-guarding and joined-up services for children at risk

			Risk			
	Current Status	Current Status against target	Di of	rection Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
18. Failure of child safeguarding arrangement Corporate		•	Dec 16	→	 Implement improvement plans for Children's Social Care and Lewisham Safeguarding Children Board, in particular improvements to front door/MASH and QA strategy. Data information and performance management regularly reviewed at Children's Social Care Service Management Team in light of Ofsted Action Plan. Implement Early Help Strategy Care Study Approach at DMT 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Mar 2017 2. Monthly Review 3. Monthly 4. At each DMT

8. Caring for Adults and Older People Working with Health Services to support older people and adults in need of care

	Priority 8 - Monthly Indicators									
		Unit	YTD Mar 17	Target Mar 17	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Feb 17	Against Target Jan 17	15/16	
Œ	LPI254 1C (2) % people using social care who receive direct payments	Percentage	32.63	30.18	*	7	*	*		

	Prior	ity 8 - Monthly i	ndicators	(reported 1	month in arrears	s)			
		Unit	YTD Feb 17	Target Feb 17	Against Target Feb 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Jan 17	Against Target Dec 16	15/16
1		Number per 100,000	3.05	4.40	*	7	*	*	*
[Number per 100,000	3.05	0.80	A	*	*	A	A

Priority 8 - Monthly Contextual Performance									
	▲ Unit	Mar 17	Feb 17	Jan 17	Dec 16	Nov 16	15/16		
LPI250 ASC total service users	Number	3,137.00	3,144.00	3,099.00	3,123.00	3,122.00	1,920		

	Priority 8 - Finance Net Expenditure (?000s)								
	Proje 2016/17 year- Varian Budget as at 17	end ce Variance	% variance	Comments					
08. NI Caring for Adults and Older People	72,100 4	300 🛦	5.96	Finance Overspend The Adult Services Division is forecast to overspend by £4.4m. Placement budgets, which has a projected overspend of £3m, remains volatile in particular. The increase prior to previous month's reflects increased costs of residential care in older adults placements and changes associated with the reletting of contracts for home care. The greatest pressures remain on learning disability where the cost of transition clients has been identified as financial risk but has not been funded. The underspend arising from staff vacancies in Strategy and performance has reduced the overall overspend by $(£0.1m)$.					


8. Caring for Adults and Older People

Developing opportunities for the active participation and engagement of people in the life of the community

			Risk			
	Current Status	Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
17. Serious Adult Safeguarding Concerns Corporate		•	Dec 16	→	 Risk - What are we planning to do? ISAB Chair to confirm the parameters of performance data for regular review. Organisational Alert Tool to be rolled out across ASC and joint commissioning following migration of data. 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Mar 17 2. TBC

LPI265 2C (2) - Delayed transfers of care from hospital which

•	ii e atti ibutai	Die to ASC per 10	orgon bob									
	•	LPI265 2C (2) Delayed transfers of care from hospital which are attributable to ASC per 100,000 pop										
	Number per 100	,000										
	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Performance (YTD)									
Feb 2016	4.44	0.70										
Mar 2016	2.22	0.70										
Apr 2016	4.79	0.80	A									
May 2016	4.79	0.80	A									
Jun 2016	3.92	0.80	A									
Jul 2016	4.79	0.80	A									
Aug 2016	0.87	0.80	A									
Sep 2016	2.61	0.80	A									
Oct 2016	3.92	0.80	A									
Nov 2016	0.87	0.80	A									
Dec 2016	1.31	0.80	<u> </u>									
Jan 2017	0.44	0.80	*									
Feb 2017	3.05	0.80	<u> </u>									



		LPI265 2C (2) - commen
Responsible Officer	Performance Comments	Action Plan

Performance

Director of

Adult's

This indicator is a snapshot around the month end. It represents seven people delayed at time of reporting; all directly due to social care. Similarly the mental health cases related to the need for specialist housing and care provision. The Ready for Discharge list has been reduced by over 60% in the last year, therefore the system has seen a higher proportion of people whose stay in an Social Care acute bed has been successfully reduced. Those with delayed transfer are generally those with complex care needs, often including mental health needs, complex placements which are very difficult to source, or cases where patients and their relatives need to decide on their preferred choice of care which can lead to longer than normal transfer times.

Performance Action Plan

Comments

As previously mentioned, a 'flow nurse' has been introduced at Lewisham Hospital from 28th Nov. to improve flow through the system and improve discharge processes and speed. The new local NHS choice policy was launched on 1st Dec this being implemented and monitored weekly by senior managers, this will help improve patients' movement through the system. We continue to work with local care providers to reduce waiting times for moving into care provision. The issue continues to be covered at monthly meeting with senior executives of the hospital trusts, the CCG, SLAM Mental Health Care Trust and there is an action plan in place to address the underlying problems. There has been a rise this month due to Mental Health placements and homeless people where long term housing needs have led to delays.

9. Active, Healthy Citizens Leisure, sporting, learning and creative activities for everyone

Priority 9 - Monthly Performance											
	Unit	YTD Mar 17	Target Mar 17	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Feb 17	Against Target Jan 17	15/16			
■ LPI202 Library visits per 1000 pop	Number per 1000	628.49	642.00		X		*				

		Priority	9 - Finan	ce Net Expenditure (?000s)
	Projecte 2016/17 year-end variance as at Fe 17	d Variance	% variance	Comments
09. NI Active, Healthy Citizens : Net Expenditure	5,666 63	9 🛦	11.28	Finance Overspend Public Health have to identify savings in excess of £4m over $16/17 \& 17/18$, resulting from a combination of saving targets and grant funding reductions. However, it will not be possible to reduce the spend in the current financial year by the full amount of the funding reduction and an overspend of £1.0m is projected (a small reduction on the previous month reflecting reduced spend on National Health check budgets). The overspend has been slightly offset by an underspend in Cultural and Community services.

9. Active, Healthy Citizens

Developing opportunities for the active participation and engagement of people in the life of the community

				Risk			
		Current Status	Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
28. Failure to agree with partners integrated delivery models for local health and care services.	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? Progress London devolution pilot that Lewisham is leading with update to M&C	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Dec 16

		Priority 10 -	Monthly	Performan	се				
		Unit	YTD Mar 17	Target Mar 17	Against Target Mar 17	DoT Last year	Against Target Feb 17	Against Target Jan 17	15/16
\pm	BV008 Invoices paid within 30 days	Percentage	75.60	100.00		?	?	?	?
+	BV012b Days/shifts lost to sickness (excluding Schools)	Number	7.67	7.50	•	7	•		
+	LPI031 NNDR collected	Percentage	98.87	99.00		1			*
+	LPI032 Council Tax collected	Percentage	95.18	96.00		7			
(LPI548a %age of notifiable incidents occurring on non-school sites reported to the HSE	Percentage	2.74	4.00	*	7	*	*	
+	LPI755 % of customers with appointments arriving on time seen within 10min of their appointed time	Percentage	93.47	91.00	*	*	*	*	*
+	NI181 Time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events	Days	6.93	7.50	*	7	*	A	*

					Risk		
		Current Status	Current Status against target		What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed	
1. Failure to effectively manage the impacts of an emergency affecting the public, business, environment and/or organisation.	Corporate	•	*	Dec 16	→	 Risk - What are we planning to do? Large scale exercise planned for early 2017 covering both emergency and business continuity response. Lessons learnt database under development to capture and monitor the implementation of learning arising from incidents and exercises. 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Mar 17 2. Mar 17
2. ICT infrastructure is not fit for purpose and/or does not meet business needs	Corporate	A	•	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Digital work programme agreed and monitoring by Digital & Transformation Board 2. Focus on supporting new ways of working for Adults and Children social worker teams to improve efficacy and efficiency 3. Monitoring IT Support arrangements to ensure quality service via shared service.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Monthly monitoring 2. Dec 16 3. Mar 17

		Current Status	Current Status against target		Ris Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
4. Non-compliance with Health & Safety Legislation	Corporate	A	A	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? Review of H & S risks and audit plan for 2017/8	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Jan 2017
5. Failure to anticipate and respond appropriately to legislative change.	Corporate		•	Dec 16	→	 Risk - What are we planning to do? Reports to Council on changes necessary to reflect legislation. Significant work ongoing to assess the impact of Dilnott, Care Act and Better Care Fund for further integration of social care work with health. Responding to Govt consultations & lobbying in various areas of political change (e.g. business rates, schools funding, improved better care fund, London devolution). 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Quarterly for CWP 2. Quarterly for H&WB 3. As dictated by government agenda

					Risk		
		Current Status	CurrentStatusagainsttarget		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
6. Financial Failure and inability to maintain service delivery within a balanced budget	Corporate		•	Dec 16		 Risk - What are we planning to do? Lewisham Future Programme to focus on transformation options - savings target to be refreshed once budget finalised. M&C line by line review of budgets with heads of service. Lewisham Future Programme to bring forward further savings proposals with the budget (if possible). 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1 Mar 17 2. Jan 17 3. Feb 17
7. Adequacy of Internal Control.	Corporate		•	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Address results of core financial internal audits & any recs from external audit and report to Audit Panel 2. Implemented solution for procurement support with neighbouring borough but new ways of working/control to be examined	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Dec 16 Done Nov 16 Done Through 2017
8. Lack of provision for unforeseen expenditure or loss of income in respect of Council's liabilities or funding streams.	Corporate			Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Receive and finalise pension fund, tri-annual valuation and set future contribution rates 2. Receive business rates 2015 valuation and reassess appeals risk. 3. Annual review of Council tax Reduction Scheme	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Nov 16 done 2. Mar 17

					Ri	sk	
			Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
9. Loss of income to the Council	Corporate	•	•	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. New AIMS Programme and FISCAL reporting tool implemented to support income collection scheme 2. Independent review of accounts payable & receivable and wider financial control environment to maximise efficiency and efficacy of processes and procedures for using the Council's systems	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Oct 16
10. Failure to manage performance leads to service failure.	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? Following creation of a single corporate policy and performance team, revisit service data & performance priorities and update performance reports & quality assurance practices.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Mar 17
12. Multi-agency governance failure leads to ineffective partnership working	Corporate	•	•	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? Adult Integrated Care Programme to improve services and provide better value for money.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 4 year programme to 2017/18

					Risk		
			Current Status against target		What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed	
13. Failure to manage strategic suppliers and related procurement programmes.	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Revised corporate arrangements to include SLA with neighbouring authority for specialist support) 2. Meet requirements of transparency code	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Nov 16 done
15. Loss of a strategic asset or premises through failure to maintain it in a safe and effective condition	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? Monitor & report on the performance of recently introduced facilities management & statutory maintenance contracts.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? April 17
19. Loss of constructive employee relations	Corporate	•		Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Implement actions from talkback survey 2. Rollout manager training suite 3. Continue to explore forum to improve collaborative work in support of culture changes- starting with Heads of Service	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Dec 16 2. Dec 16 3. Mar 17

					Risk		
			Current Status against target		Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
21. Information governance failure.	Corporate	A	•	Dec 16		 Risk - What are we planning to do? Refresh all of the Information Management policies. Review information sharing guidance and processes Align IT policies with Brent with Information Governance policies to follow. 	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Dec 16 2. Dec 16 3. Mar 17
24. Failure to maintain sufficient management capacity & capability to deliver business as usual and implement transformational changes.	Corporate	•	•	Dec 16		Risk - What are we planning to do? 1. Review level of agency staff/recruitment and success as part of retendering contract. 2. Roll out corporate managers training. See also risk re financial savings & gap for management & corporate overheads.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? 1. Mar 17 2. Dec 16
27 Governance failings in the implementation of service changes	Corporate		A	Dec 16	_	Risk - What are we planning to do? Savings proposal monitoring and legal implications for 2017/18 budget	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Feb 17

Risk							
		Current Current Status Status against target			Direction of Travel	What are we planning to do?	When is it going to be completed
29 Move to IER impacts work of boundary commission	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? Continue lobbying work at electoral commission and via LGA and respond to consultation.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Dec 16
30. Strategic programme to develop and implement transformational change does not deliver	Corporate	•		Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? Lewisham Futures programme continues to work to identify new proposals to close savings gap for 19/20 estimated at £45m, on top of £17m for 17/18. 1. £23m of savings agreed for 17/18 with £9m gap. Looking to bring forward more proposals if possible. 2. Working on £14m of outline proposals for 18/19 and 19/20, leaving a gap of £25m.	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Jan 17 Dec 17
32. Election/Referendum not conducted efficiently.	Corporate	•	A	Dec 16	→	Risk - What are we planning to do? Monitor resourcing for elections	Risk - When is it going to be completed? Dec 16 done

Appendix A - Performance Scoring Methodology

Together we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Performance

Performance can be measured using two methods. Firstly, current performance is appraised against past performance to assess "direction of travel" – is it improving or worsening? Secondly, performance can be measured against a norm, standard or target.

Areas for management attention are determined by considering performance against the following 2 elements - Against target and Direction of Travel (DoT) against the previous years outturn (in this case March 2015). If both of these elements are red we consider that the indicator should be flagged as an area for management attention.

The Council has aims and objectives as an organisation responsible for securing local public services. But it also has wider aims to work in partnership with other organisations (in the public, private and community sectors) to improve Lewisham as a place to live. It is therefore essential that our Pls not only measure our organisational and service performance against the Council's corporate priorities but also evaluate our efforts to achieve improvements through partnership working. These wider aims are described in Lewisham's Sustainable Community Strategy. A summary on performance can be found in the 'Overall Summary: Performance' at front of the Executive Summary report.

Data Quality Policy

The Council has a Data Quality Policy which is adhered to and sets out the corporate data quality objectives. Directorates also have a statement of data quality and a data quality action plan.

Appendix B - Projects, Risk & Finance Scoring Methodology

Projects

Together we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live, work and learn

Project status is recorded using a red / amber / green traffic light reporting system.

Red: Projects considered to be at significant risk of late delivery, of overspending or of not achieving their primary objectives. Project likely to be facing issues or uncertainties e.g. funding concerns, lack of clarity over scope / costs, other significant risks not yet under effective control. Sheer scale of a project, its complexity and overall risk level can also attract a red rating.

Amber: Projects considered to be at moderate risk of late delivery, of overspending or of not achieving some objectives. Issues may have been escalated outside the project team, but likely that these can be resolved e.g. resources will be identified to deal with moderate changes to costs or scope.

Green: Project considered to be on time, on budget, with current risks being managed effectively within the project structure.

Risk

Risks are scored in terms of likelihood and impact, with a range from 1 to 5 (with 5 being the highest) and the result is plotted on a matrix (as shown on the Overall Performance: Risk page) to produce the RAG rating. A target is also set and the risk registers contain action plans to manage the risks to target and these are subject to regular review by Directorate Management Teams. The risk registers are reported to the Risk Management Working Party and Internal Control Board on a quarterly basis and quarterly updates are provided in this report.

Finance

Financial monitoring is recorded using a red/amber/green traffic light reporting system.

Net expenditure on the priority is forecast to vary from budget by either:-

Red - more than £0.5m or 2.5% overspent or more than £10m or 50% underspent

Amber - more than £0.1m and less than £0.5m or by more than 1% and less than 2.5% overspent or more than £5m and less than £10m or by more than 25% and less than 50% underspent

Green - up to £0.1m or up to 1% overspent or up to £5m or up to 25% underspent

The Executive Management Team will take into account:-

- (i) The performance of the housing part of the Capital Programme in assessing the traffic light for Decent Homes;
- (ii) The overall financial position on revenue and capital in assessing the traffic light for 'Inspiring Efficiency, Effectiveness & Equity'.

The methodologies for Projects, Risk and Finance outlined above will be reviewed annually at the end of the financial year as part of the review of this report and the target setting process for performance indicators. The text above will be subject to change at this point.